

75. (Newly Added) The method of claim 71 wherein the printable media comprise a matrix of abutting columns and rows of printable business cards.

76. (Newly Added) The method of claim 71 wherein each of the printable media comprises a single piece, single material printable card.

REMARKS

In the above-mentioned Office Action, claims 30-34 were provisionally rejected under the judicially-created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims in copending application 09/158,308 ('308), claims 1-4 were provisionally rejected over claims of the '308 application, claims 5 and 7-12 were provisionally rejected over claims in the '308 application, claims 13-15, 17 and 19-20 were provisionally rejected over claims in the '308 application, claims 1 and 2 were rejected as anticipated by *Klein*, claims 5, 7-15, 17, 19 and 20 were rejected under Section 102(f), claims 5, 7-15, 17, 19 and 20 were provisionally rejected under Section 102(e) as anticipated by the '308 application, claim 4 was rejected as being unpatentable over *Klein*, and claims 6 and 16 were provisionally rejected as being obvious over the '308 application. In response thereto claims 1-42 have been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer and new claims 43-76 have been added.

The new claims include independent claims 43, 48, 57, 65 and 71. Brief discussions of the patentability of each of these independent claims follow. The claims depending therefrom are patentable for at least these reasons.

New independent claim 43 is old claim 3 rewritten in independent format. Old dependent claim 3 had only a provisional rejection.

New independent claim 48 includes a final paragraph which reads, "after (b), calendering an edge of the unwound web, the calendered edge defining an infeed edge of the sheet for feeding the sheet infeed edge first into a printer or copier for a printing operation on the sheet." This is not taught by the prior art, Applicants respectfully contend. If the Examiner rejects this claim, she is requested to provide patent or other publication support for her rejection.


New independent claim 57 corresponds to old dependent claim 18 written in independent format. There was no rejection of old dependent claim 18.

New independent claims 65 and 71 correspond to old dependent claims 33 and 34, respectively. The only rejections of these two dependent claims were provisional rejections.

It is thus respectfully submitted that the subject application is in condition for allowance.
If there are any remaining issues, the Examiner is encouraged to telephone counsel at (310) 788-5053 to seek to resolve them.

Please charge any additional fees required by this paper or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 16-2230.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Douglas N. Larson", written over a horizontal line.

Douglas N. Larson
Registration No. 29,401

Dated: October 4, 2002

OPPENHEIMER WOLFF & DONNELLY LLP
2029 Century Park East, 38th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90067-3024
Telephone: (310) 788-5000
Facsimile : (310) 788-5100